



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Umbriel was usually the most difficult of the satellites to see, and *Titania* the easiest. But on several nights *Ariel* could not be seen steadily, though *Umbriel* was measured satisfactorily.

The residuals derived from the comparison with NEWCOMB's tables indicate that the satellites are slightly in advance of their predicted places, and that their orbits are a little smaller than those given by NEWCOMB.

The average residuals are:—

<i>Ariel</i>	+ 2°.2	— 0".29
<i>Umbriel</i>	+ 1°.2	— 0°.16
<i>Titania</i>	+ 1°.45	— 0°.18
<i>Oberon</i>	+ 0°.7	— 0°.13

On the assumption that these quantities represent the error of the tables, I computed the probable error of a single observation of each satellite with the following result:—

<i>Ariel</i>	± 1°.2	± 0".16
<i>Umbriel</i>	± 1°.1	± 0°.18
<i>Titania</i>	± 0°.6	± 0°.20
<i>Oberon</i>	± 0°.3	± 0°.18

NEWCOMB's tables are based upon observations made with the 26-inch refractor of the U. S. Naval Observatory in 1874 and 1875. That they represent observations made a quarter of a century later as closely as is indicated by the residuals here given is sufficient commentary upon the skill and care with which they were constructed, and upon the accuracy of the observations on which they were based.

R. G. AITKEN.

OBSERVATIONS OF THE SPECTROSCOPIC BINARY *CAPELLA*.*

The first-magnitude star *Capella* was discovered to be a spectroscopic double star, early in August, 1899, from an examination of the plates of its spectrum secured with the Mills spectograph in 1896. Announcement of the fact was made to the Astronomical and Astrophysical Society of America at the meeting of September 7, 1899, and in the *Astrophysical Journal* for October, 1899.

Independent discovery of its binary character was made by Mr. H. F. NEWALL, of Cambridge, England, in November, 1899, and announced in the *Monthly Notices Royal Astronomical Society* for November.

*From Lick Observatory Bulletin, No. 6.

The spectra of the two components are distinguishable on most of the plates, the exceptions being those taken when the radial velocities of the two were nearly equal, producing a superposition of the two sets of lines. The spectrum of the principal star is of the solar type, whereas that of the secondary is intermediate between the solar and Sirian types.

The velocities of the principal component, as observed with the Mills spectrograph, are given by the following table:—

No.	Date. Greenwich M. T.	Velocity. Kms.	No.	Date. Greenwich M. T.	Velocity. Kms.
1	1896, Sept. 1.036	+36.4 C.	17	1899, Nov. 6.026	+54.8 C.
2	17.005	53.8 C.	18	27.952	43.2 W.
3	Oct. 4.003	50.3 C.	19	27.966	44.0 W.
4	6.029	46.9 C.	20	Dec. 3.720	35.2 C.
5	Nov. 12.865	4.2 C.	21	18.648	12.6 W.
			22	24.882	7.7 W.
6	1899, Aug. 12.999	48.3 C.			
7	27.052	26.1 C.	23	1900, Jan. 10.649	7.7 C.
8	Sept. 12.950	5.7 W.	24	21.740	21.7 W.
9	20.006	5.1 W.	25	Feb. 11.724	50.0 W.
10	20.919	3.5 W.	26	26.726	55.2 W.
11	20.933	5.4 W.	27	26.740	54.8 C.
12	25.909	6.6 C.	28	Aug. 2.012	3.6 C.
13	Oct. 3.988	14.8 C.	29	Sept. 19.944	55.5 C.
14	16.912	32.9 C.	30	24.950	53.9 C.
15	16.929	32.0 C.	31	27.005	53.7 C.
16	31.892	52.0 C.			

[Measures of the plates by CAMPBELL and WRIGHT are indicated by c. and w. respectively.]

The presence of the second component's spectrum interferes considerably with the measures of that of the first component, and the probable error of a single observation, ± 0.50 kilometer, deduced by Dr. REESE, is as small as could be expected. Measures of the speed of the second component are somewhat uncertain, but an estimated range of from -3 to $+63$ kilometers will not be far from the truth. The masses of the two components are therefore as 1.26 to 1.

The solar-type component is estimated to be half a magnitude brighter, photographically, than the bluer component. In the visual portion of the spectrum the solar component is probably at least a whole magnitude the brighter of the two.

Inasmuch as the spectroscope takes account of the component of speed in the line of sight, and is powerless to measure the component at right angles to the line of sight, the spectroscopic orbit is determinate in form, but indeterminate in size. The

inclination of the orbit-plane remains unknown. The minimum orbit capable of satisfying the observed velocities corresponds to the case of the orbit-plane passing through the observer. In this case, the maximum distance between the two components would be about 85,000,000 kilometers; and, if ELKIN'S value of the parallax of *Capella*, $0''.08$, is correct, the angular separation of the components, as viewed from the solar system, would approximate $0''.045$ when passing through the nodes. Such an orbit would give rise to eclipses every fifty-two days. No variations in the brightness of *Capella* having been observed, it is said to assume that the orbit-plane makes an appreciable angle with the line of sight.

In the case of a great number of orbit-planes distributed fortuitously, the most probable value of the angle between the normal to the orbit-plane and the line of sight would be 60° . The corresponding angular separation of the components at the nodal points would be about $0''.052$. In case this angle should be 30° , the corresponding separation would be $0''.09$.

The question as to whether *Capella* could be observed as an ordinary double star early arose. It was most carefully examined with the 36-inch refractor on several occasions in 1900 and 1901 by Messrs. HUSSEY and AITKEN, and on one occasion by Mr. PERRINE; but neither duplicity nor elongation could be detected. Their observations were made under the most favorable conditions, and we may conclude that the angular separation of the components is less than $0''.06$.

A discussion of the probable masses of the components with reference to the mass of our Sun seems to be futile, on account of the impossibility of harmonizing the best available data for the parallax and brightness of *Capella*, the brightness of our Sun and the angular separation of the components.

1901, July 25.

W. W. CAMPBELL.

A DETERMINATION OF THE ORBIT OF *CAPELLA*.

[ABSTRACT.]*

The computation is based on the thirty-one observations of the velocity in the line of sight of the solar-type component given in the preceding note. The method of computing the orbit is exactly that given by LEHMANN-FILHÉS (*A. N.* 3242), except that in the equations of condition the correction to the velocity

* The complete paper is printed in Lick Observatory *Bulletin*, No. 6.